```
KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
1
     United States Attorney
2
    EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
3
    Chief, Criminal Division
4
    MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)
     Assistant United States Attorney
5
        150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
        San Jose, California 95113
6
        Telephone: (408) 535-5035
7
        Facsmile: (408) 535-5066
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
8
9
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                            NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
                                                             *E-FILED - 10/3/05*
12
13
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                    No. CR 05-00445-RMW
14
           Plaintiff,
                                                    STIPULATION REGARDING
15
                                                    EXCLUDABLE TIME, CONTINUANCE
                                                    AND ORDER
16
     NATHANIEL E. LOVELL,
        aka Nate Lovell, aka pestilenc, aka pest,
17
           Defendant.
18
19
        It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Nathaniel E. Lovell, and his counsel
20
     Jack Gordon, and the United States as follows:
21
        This matter was set for a status conference on September 26, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. In this
22
    copyright infringement case, the defense needs more time to prepare, review discovery
23
24
     previously provided, including a substantial amount of digital evidence, and research legal and
    sentencing issues. On July 14, 2005, defendant Lovell was arraigned on a nine-count indictment,
25
26
    charging as follows: Count One: Conspiracy to Commit Criminal Copyright Infringement,
    Infringement By Electronic Means, Infringement By Distributing A Commercial Distribution
27
     Work, Traffic in Devices to Circumvent a Technological Measure that Protects a Copyright
28
    STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER
     CR 05-00445-RMW
```

L	Work, Circumvent a Technological Measure that Protects a Copyright Work, and Use
2	Audiovisual Recording Devices to Make Unauthorized Copies Of Audiovisual Works, in
3	violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; Count Two: Circumventing a Technological Measure Protecting a
1	Copyright Work and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201(a)(1)(A),
5	1204(a)(1), and 18 U.S.C. § 2; Counts Three, Four and Five: Trafficking in Technology
5	Primarily Designed to Circumvent Technological Measures Protecting A Right of a Copyright
7	Owner and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201(a)(2)(A), and 1204(a)(1) and
3	18 U.S.C. § 2; Counts Six, Seven, Eight and Nine: Criminal Copyright Infringement By
9	Electronic Means and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 506(a)(1)(B), 18 U.S.C.
LO	§ 2319(c)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2; and an allegation of Criminal Forfeiture and Destruction, in
L1	violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 506(b) and 509(a).
L2	The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from the
L3	September 26, 2005, until October 3, 2005, because the parties believe that the ends of justice
L 4	served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
L5	defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to
L6	prepare for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).
L7	The parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense
L8	preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable
L9	time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,
20	// // //
21	// // //
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00445-RMW Page 2 of 4

Document 28 Filed 10/03/05

Page 3 of 4

Case 5:05-cr-00445-RMW

ORDER 1 2 Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference set for September 26, 2005 at 9:00 4 a.m. for defendant Lovell shall be continued to October 3, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. 5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the time between September 26, 2005 and October 3, 6 2005 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for 7 the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation.. The Court finds that the ends of 8 justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9 10 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) (complexity), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) (reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence). 11 DATED: October 3, 2005 12 /s/ Ronald M. Whyte 13 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00445-RMW Page 4 of 4